This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

He

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,604	12/28/2000		Tadakatsu Izumi	F-6803	6756	
7	590 (07/31/2002				
Jordan and Hamburg				EXAMINER		
122 East 42nd New York, NY				ENATSKY, AARON L		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3713		
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

RN

• •			S			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/750,604	IZUMI, TADAKATSU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
÷	Aaron L Enatsky	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communicati NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 L	<u>December 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under a sixty of Claims.	ince except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	, prosecution as to the merits 1, 453 O.G. 213.	; is			
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 2000</u> is/ar						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			ition).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/750,604

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant requires that the first and second game machines "are set" based on competition game results. What is "set" is not disclosed or taught, therefore it is unclear as to how the claim adds any structure or further limits the invention.
- 4. Claims 6 and 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant uses various pronouns such as "own game machine" or "either of them", which are indefinite and fail to particularly point out the nouns that the pronouns are referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,604

Art Unit: 3713

6. Claims 1-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Junkin '862. Junkin teaches a network game system having a plurality of elements that allows play of a real time game element trading game (Abstract). The network has communication, interactive terminal, servers, and storage devices (Fig. 1). Junkin describes a computer displays a team roster database that shows possession information (2:41-42), multiple computers communicating over a network that teach discrimination between machines (Fig. 1 and 2:43-45), a menu for selecting and trading team players (8:66-67) that shows transaction information providing, presentation, selection of elements available for trade. Information updating is taught through real time update of team information (6:25-37).

In re claim 2, a selected game element is removed when possession is obtained from another game participant/machine.

In re claim 3, the game is a predetermined competition of baseball or hockey (4:30).

In re claim 4, the menu presented to a user at a terminal device provides selection and decision information (8:54-67).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Peppel '216, discloses the use of trading game elements over a network.

Ng '855, discloses a network game, where one game machine can obtain a game element from another game machine.

Kagan et al. '045, discloses an interactive multiplayer game system, transmitting data between devices.

Art Unit: 3713

Sides '831, discloses a network work game device for playing competitive games with other game machines/players.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron L Enatsky whose telephone number is 703-305-3525. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on 703-308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-9302 for regular communications and 703-746-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ale July 23, 2002

> JESSICA HARRISON BRIMARY EXAMINER